

ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ರೂಪವಾಗಿಯೂ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

**Sri U. M. MADAPPA.**—Is this grant sufficient to complete the incomplete work pending before Government since last year?

**Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—This is in addition to the carry-over of the previous schemes which have not been completed and which are at various stages of completion.

9 A.M.

### Additional Gazetted and Non-Gazetted Executive Posts in Motor Vehicles Department.

**Q.—1090. Sri M. LINGE GOWDA (Kanakapura).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the names of additional Gazetted and Non-Gazetted Executive posts created in the Motor Vehicles Department after the State Re-organisation;

(b) the qualifications prescribed for the candidates to be promoted or appointed, and the duties and responsibilities proposed to be entrusted to them;

(c) whether the Public Service Commission was consulted before making temporary arrangements, if any, for filling up the said posts;

(d) whether it has come to their notice that the legitimate claims of the ministerial staff have been ignored in the promotion to the said posts and, if so, the action taken by Government in this behalf?

**A.—Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).**—

(a) (i) Two Regional Transport Officers (Gazetted),

(ii) One Assistant Regional Transport Officer (Gazetted),

(iii) Six Supervisors (Non-gazetted), and

(iv) One Police Inspector (Non-gazetted).

(b) The question of prescribing qualification and other allied matters for promotion and recruitment is under consideration.

(c) No.

(d) No. Because the promotions made in respect of (i), (ii) and (iii) of Clause (a) above are purely provisional. The legitimate claims, if any, of the ministerial staff of the Department will be considered after the finalisation of the Cadre and Recruitment Rules of the Motor Vehicles Department which is under consideration of Government.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.**—1939ನೆಯ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಮೋಟಾರು ವಹಿಕಲ್ಯು ಅಕ್ಟನ್ನು ಅಮೆಂಡುಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಜುಡೀಷಿಯಲ್ ಕ್ಲಾಸಿ ಫೀಕ್ಷನ್ನು ಇರುವವರನ್ನು ರೀಜನಲ್ ಟ್ರಾನ್ಸ್ ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಫೀಸರನ್ನಾಗಿ ನೇಮಕಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಸರಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.** ನನಗೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—I rise on a point of order, Sir. The other day the Chair was pleased to give a ruling that in the absence of the concerned Minister, the Deputy Ministers are entrusted with the responsibility of answering interpellations. The concerned Minister is present today and the answer in the printed order stands in his name. I cannot therefore understand how the Deputy Minister is answering the question.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—When I stated that the Deputy Minister could reply on behalf of the Minister, in the absence of the Minister, it did not mean that the Deputy Minister could not answer when the Minister was present. The Deputy Minister can also reply on behalf of the Minister when the Minister is present. Actually, in the Lok Sabha and other Assemblies, I have observed that the Deputy Minister replies when the concerned Minister is present. The Minister intervenes only when there is a difficulty. Otherwise, the Deputy Minister replies.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—I do not question the ruling. Because a ruling had been given the other day, I just invited your attention to it.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—My previous ruling does not contradict my present decision.

(MR. SPEAKER)

(ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲರನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು)  
1939ನೆಯ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕ್ಯಾಲಿಫೋರ್ನಿಯಾ ಅಗಿರುವವರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಬೇಡವೇ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅವರು 1939ರ ಯಾವ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ರವರೆಗೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅದು ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿಯಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ರೀ ಆರ್ಗನೈಜು ಆದನಂತರ ಎಂದರೆ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ 1957 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಸ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಂತರ ಈ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಜುಡೀಷಿಯಲ್ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ಯಾಲಿಫೋರ್ನಿಯಾ ಅದವರನ್ನು ಅವಾಯಿಂಟು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಮೊಟಾರು ವೆಹಿಕಲ್ ಆಮೆಂಟ್ ಮೆಂಟು ಬಿಲ್ಡು ಪಾಸಾಡಾಗ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಈ ಆರ್. ಜಿ. ಒ. ನೇಮಕ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನಾನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮೋಟಾರು ವೆಹಿಕಲ್ ಚಾಕ್ಸುಗಳ ಕಾನೂನುಗಳು ಐದು ಭಾಗಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುವಂಥವು; ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಭಾಗದ ಕಾನೂನನ್ನು ತಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—1939ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅದ ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈನೂರು ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಕಾನೂನನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ಕಾನೂನನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ತಾವು ಈ ಅವಾಯಿಂಟು ಮೆಂಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದ ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಅದಕ್ಕೂ ರೀ ಆರ್ಗನೈಜು ಆಗಿ ಹೊಸ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಬಂದ ನಂತರ ಯಾವ ಕಾನೂನು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಿತ್ರರು ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಹೊಸ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಆದನಂತರ ಐದು ವಿಧವಾದ ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ತರಹದ ಕಾನೂನುಗಳು ಬಂದು ಸೇರಿವೆ. ಅವುಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ಒಂದು ಕಡೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಒಂದು ರೀತಿಯಾದ ಸಿಸ್ಟಂ ಎವಾಲ್ಯು ಮಾಡಿ ಈ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾದ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್‌ನ್ನು ಕ್ರಿಯೇಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ನಂತರವೇ ಫಿರ್‌ಮ್ ಮಾಡುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಹಳೆಯ ಮೈನೂರು ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಉಳಿದ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾದ ಯಾವ ಕಾನೂನುಗಳೂ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಐದು ವಿಧವಾದ ಕಾಯಿದೆಗಳಿವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಜುಡೀಷಿಯಲ್ ಲೈವಿ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಪೀರಿಯನ್ಸ್ ಇರುವವರನ್ನು ಅವಾಯಿಂಟು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಕಾನೂನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಯಾವ ಪೋಸ್ಟಿಗೂ ಜುಡೀಷಿಯಲ್ ಕ್ಯಾಲಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ಸ್ ಇರುವ ಅಫೀಸರನ್ನು ಇರಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ನನಗೇನೂ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಆರ್. ಜಿ. ಒ. ಅಫೀಸರ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ ನಾನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I do not think any judicial qualification is necessary, but they should be technically qualified, so far as my knowledge goes.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know the reason why the Public

Service Commission was not consulted in the matter of these appointments?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—In view of the re-organisation of the Department and the urgency involved and to ensure the smooth working of the Department, these posts were filled up provisionally. We have now referred the matter to the Public Service Commission.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Have any rules regarding qualifications been prescribed in the Central Motor Vehicles Rules?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I have already made it clear, Sir. The rules are now being framed. It is under the consideration of Government. These posts have been filled up by promotion purely as a temporary measure.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Under what rules have these persons been appointed and when were those rules made?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The rules are being made.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—May I understand that these people were appointed without any rules and without a provision?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I have already stated that in view of the urgency involved, these appointments have been made on a provisional basis. The matter has now been referred to the Public Service Commission and the rules prescribing qualifications etc., are being framed.

Sri G. SIVAPPA.—Is it not a fact that even for provisional appointments, some rules are necessary.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—While making the provisional appointments the qualifications and experience and other aspects were taken into consideration.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—Were the principles of communal representation given effect to while making these appointments?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I do not think so. The appointments are purely provisional and I do not think the principle of communal representation has been taken into account.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—Whether the appointments are provisional or permanent, do not the rules contemplate that

the communal representation should be kept up?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—Communal representation would be taken into account at the time when fresh recruitment is made. These are temporary promotions granted to officials who were already working. Their appointments were made after their seniority and merit were taken into consideration. They have been provisionally promoted.

**Sri N. RACHIAH.**—May I know if the temporary promotion of these officials would entitle them for permanent absorption at the time the appointments are made on a permanent basis?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—No, Sir. The appointments are purely provisional. Rules are being made and the conditions would be prescribed. According to those conditions, if any of them are qualified, they would be eligible for permanent absorption.

**Sri N. RACHIAH.**—Does it mean that there are certain unqualified persons who have been appointed to these posts?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—I did not say so. The rules are being made and while making permanent appointments the conditions prescribed in the rules would be adhered to.

**Sri N. RACHIAH.**—When appointments are made, whether temporary or permanent, it amounts to a sort of recruitment. If that is so, why was not a certain percentage of the posts given to people entitled to them under Article 335 of the Constitution?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—It is not fresh recruitment. Officials who were already in service have been provisionally promoted.

**Sri N. RACHIAH.**—May I know if those persons who were already in service and who have been appointed to these posts would not be entitled for absorption in these posts and therefore it amounts to recruitment?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—No, Sir. It is not recruitment.

**Sri N. RACHIAH.**—May I know whether these appointments are fresh appointments and as such a temporary arrangement has been made?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—I already said that these were not fresh appointments. Only officials in service were promoted as a temporary measure.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—Has the matter been referred to the Public Service Commission in order to regularise these appointments?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—The Public Service Commission has been asked to concur with the provisional appointments.

**Sri U. M. MADAPPA.**—When they are already promoted, may I know whether the posts that have fallen vacant as a result of their promotion have been filled up?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—That is altogether a different question.

**Sri U. M. MADAPPA.**—When you have promoted these persons, is it not a fact that it is permanent promotion?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—It is not permanent promotion. It is purely provisional and after the rules are framed the question of permanent absorption will be taken into consideration.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ನಿತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.**—ಗೆಜೆಟ್ ಮತ್ತು ನಾನ್ ಗೆಜೆಟ್ ನೌಕರರನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವಾಗ ಯಾವ ನೀತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುತ್ತೀರಿ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.**—ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದು ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು. ಈಗ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇದೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಿಂಗೇಗೌಡ.**—ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಟ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಆರ್. ಟಿ. ಬಿ. ಗಳಾಗಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

**ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.**—Technical Assistant to the Transport Commissioner ಅವರು ಗೆಜೆಟ್ ಆಫೀಸರಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರನ್ನು ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು. ಇನ್ನೊಬ್ಬರು ಮಾತ್ರ ವೆಹಿಕಲ್ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಟ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಆರು ತಿಂಗಳುಗಳವರೆಗೂ ಆರ್. ಟಿ. ಬಿ. ಆಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅಡ್ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಷನ್ ಅನುಭವ ಇತ್ತು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರನ್ನೂ ಕೂಡ ಆರ್. ಟಿ. ಬಿ. ಆಗಿ ಆ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

**Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.**—Just a little while ago the the Deputy Minister was pleased to concede that the appointments would be made on the basis of communal representation.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—He did not say that.

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—I did not say that.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—Then, what did he say?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He said about the reservation for the Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and Backward Classes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಫೈಮ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಈಗ ಯಾರು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅವರನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಪಯೋಗಿ ಬಾಯಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇರೆ ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಫೈಮ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಫೈಮ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಯಾವ ತರಹ ಅರ್ಹತೆ, ಎಷ್ಟು ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಮತ್ತು ಎಷ್ಟು ಅನುಭವ ಇರಬೇಕು—ಇವೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ವಿಚಾರಮಾಡಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಲಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಫೈಮ್ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಜುಡಿಷಿಯಲ್ ಕ್ಯಾಲಿಫೈಡ್ ಪೀಪಲ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಅರ್. ಜಿ. ಒ. ಆಗಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ನಿಗಾ ಇಟ್ಟು ಕೊಂಡಿರೋ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is a suggestion for action.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಬಸವಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಹಂಗಾಮಿಯಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿದ್ದೇ ವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಮುಕ್ತಾಪ್ಪನವರ ಪುತ್ರಿಗೆ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನರಿಗೆ ರೆಫರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಅವರನ್ನು ಕಳಾಪುರ್ ಮಾಡುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅದರಿಂದ ಈಗ ಅವರನ್ನು ಪ್ರೋವಿಷನರಾಗಿ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರೋ ಅಥವಾ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ರೆಕ್ರೂಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಾಗಿ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರೋ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇದನ್ನು ಮೊದಲೇ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ರೆಫರ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಆ ಮೇಲೆ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅದನ್ನು ಇದ್ದ ಕಾರಣ, ಅಪಾಯಿಂಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಆಯಿತು. ಆಮೇಲೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ರೆಫರ್ ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಈಗ ಅವರ ಕೆಳಕರನನ್ನು ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Under those circumstances, if the Government have referred those appointments for confirmation by the Public Service Commission, will they not have to keep in view the various representations that they have got to give?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is for the Public Service Commission to do as it likes.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—While you have made the appointments provisionally and asked for the consent of the Public Service Commission for those appointments, have you given scope for the Public Service Commission to exercise that discretion?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is left to the Public Service Commission.

Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY.—Normally the practice is that what-

ever names the Government propose or recommend for confirmation, the Public Service Commission agrees with them.

### Refusal to Permit Loud Speakers at the Meeting of Maharashtra Samithi, Belgaum.

Q.—710. Sri N. O. SAMAJI (Belgaum II).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware that a meeting on behalf of Maharashtra Ekikaran Samithi was held at 6 30 P.M. on the 21st May 1957 in the Maruti Temple at Belgaum;

(b) whether they are aware that permission to use the loud speakers was refused;

(c) the rules applicable for issuing such permission; and the date on which the rules were framed;

(d) whether they will place a copy of the rules on the Table of the House;

(e) whether they are aware that the loud speakers were used on the occasion of some function in the above temple on 8th April 1957?

A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Deputy Minister) [on behalf of Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries)].—

(a) Yes.

(b) No application for permission to use loud speakers at the said meeting was received.

(c) and (d) A copy of the rules framed by the District Magistrate under section 33 (1) (r) (iii) of the Bombay Police Act, 1951 (Bombay XXII of 1951) under his Notification No. DC/POL/1486, dated 5th February 1955, is placed on the Table of the House.

(e) No application for permission to use loudspeaker in the said temple on 8th April 1957 was received and no such permission was granted to any person.

[Sri N. O. SAMAJI (In Marathi).—Sir, the reply to clause (b) is wrong.]